

REMARKS

Claim 22 has been amended to overcome the asserted lack of particularity described in the decision on appeal. Namely, as now set forth in the claim, it is not believed that there can be any doubt that the claim clearly avoids the situation where the user must rifle through accumulated information in order to find the pertinent information, that pertinent information being the information pertinent to its current position. Instead, the claim calls for determining the vehicle's location and identifying information associated with that current location. No such operation occurs in Kondou.


New dependent claim 30 is even more specific, requiring that the information only be displayed when the vehicle becomes proximate to the location associated with the location information appended to the request for information.

Therefore, a system is provided in which the user's display need not be cluttered with irrelevant information. When the user comes proximate to a particular location for which the system has information, that information may be displayed.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 19, 2006



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation